

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/768,579	DHANOA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Mr. Triano.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 rejection for claims 10 and 64*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The moieties appearing in amended 10 and 64 have been reversed compared with original claims and are not consistent with specification, p.4, relied on by applicants. See "methylcyclohexyl and phenylcyclohexyl". Also it was pointed out that the "2-" in "2-methylcyclohexyl" is superficial since there is no other substituent on the cyclohexyl moiety and thus should be deleted. It was also pointed out that the revised moieties, namely "cyclohexylmethyl and cyclohexylphenyl" should appear in main claim 1 and 56, respectively as these moieties are not within the ambit of R1 choices currently listed in the main claims. If these changes are made and nothing pertinent found in an updated search, the claims would be allowable. It was agreed by Mr. Triano to make the above changes.